

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 12/08/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,853 04/27/2005		Joerg Haffelder	10191/4059	1967	
26646	7590 12/08/2006		EXAMINER		
KENYON & KENYON LLP ONE BROADWAY NEW YORK, NY 10004			ESHETE, ZELALEM		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3748		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)			
10/532,853	HAFFELDER ET AL.	HAFFELDER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Zelalem Eshete	3748			

	Zelalem Eshete	3748					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of wing replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid aba ffidavit, or other eviden compliance with 37 Cl	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili	ng date of the final rejecti	on.				
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th					
AMENDMENTS	•	( )					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co  (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1							
4. $\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \$		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)							
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	llowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the				
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		rill be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: <u>13</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>9,10,12,14-16</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	d sufficient reasons why the affida	wit or other evidence is	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attacl	ned.				
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER  11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
		in condition to allowa	noo booddoo.				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).						
13. ☑ Other: The drawings filed on 4/27/05 are accepted.		4.0					
		Thote	-				
		THOMAS DE	NION				
		SUPERVISORY PATE	NT EXAMINER				
		TECHNOLOGY CE	VIER 3700				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: the amendments with respect to "multi-stage" fault signal changes the scope of the claim; therefore, require further search and consideration.